

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,552	11/16/2001	Pingsha Dong	BAT 0031 PA	5230
75	590 06/07/2004		EXAM	INER
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P.			MARTIR, LILYBETT	
One Dayton Ce. Dayton, OH 4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
20,000, 000			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			μ*
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	09/992,552	DONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lilybett Martir	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 March 2004.		
•	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4.15-17,22-26,32,33,36,37,48</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4.15-17,22-26,32 and 33</u> is/are 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>36,37,48 and 49</u> is/are objected are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration. e allowed. to.	oplication.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		= .	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			1/4\
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	aniana mainaika amadan 05 H C C	S 440(a) (d) an (b)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	A) 🗍 Interview	Summan (PTO 413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/992,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 36-37 and 48-49 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 32-33 and 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). In said claims the preamble appears to be different, but since the "system" could be or is a computer as shown in the specification, the claims are therefore found identical. Also, the examiner does not give any patentable weight to the preamble, because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause (Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951)). Applicant needs to cancel one of the duplicate set of claims in order to obviate the present objection.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-4,15-17,22-26,32 and 33 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The recitation and utilization of moment vectors as part of the method of calculating the structural stress are neither disclosed nor suggested in any piece of available prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,552 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Citation of Prior Art

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art considered pertinent during examination of the examined application is:

- Murakami (Pat. 5,616,866) Method of finding stress distribution from temperature variation pattern on surface of elastic body.
- Aizawa et al. (Pat. 6,163,757) Method and apparatus for analyzing linear object and recording medium having linear object analyzing program stored thereon.
- Karafillis et al. (Pat. 6,353,768) Method and apparatus for designing manufacturing process for sheet metal part.
- O'Brien et al. (Pat. 6,456,289) Animation system and method for a animating object fracture.
- Dasgupta (Pat. 6,101,450) Stress analysis using a defect-free four-node finite element technique.

Conclusion

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilybett Martir whose telephone number is (571)272-2182. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.
- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571)272-2180. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/992,552 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M

Lilybett Martir Examiner Art Unit 2855

RM

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800